UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/549,841	11/25/2005	Michael Burmester	H01.2-12068	3686
	7590 03/14/200 FT & STEINKRAUS,	EXAMINER		
6109 BLUE CIRCLE DRIVE SUITE 2000 MINNETONKA, MN 55343-9185			MORAN, KATHERINE M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3765	
			·	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		03/14/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/549,841	BURMESTER, MICHAEL			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Katherine Moran	3765			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. C (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 Ja</u> 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on 14 September 2005 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	relection requirement. f. f. fre: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te			

Response to Amendment

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's amendment of 1/2/07 has been received and reviewed. Applicant amended claims 7 and 8 and submitted new claim 12. Claims 1-12 are pending.

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the imprinted foils injected into the plastic material must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for

Art Unit: 3765

consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

3. Claims 3, 8, and 12 are objected to because of the following informalities: claim 3: insert --and-- after "portion,"; claim 8: the claim should be amended in proper Markush format as follows: pigments selected from the group consisting of: dye pigments, effect pigments, phosphorescing and/or fluorescing pigments, metallic and/or glittering pigments, and metal oxide mica pigments; claim 12: insert --foils-- after "imprinted". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 5 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 5 is indefinite due to the recitation "is realised". It is not clear what this phrase is reciting. Claim 8 recites "pigments are incorporated".

Art Unit: 3765

into the plastic material". It is unclear what process is denoted by the term "incorporated".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 1-5 and 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fay (U.S. 4,192,017). Fay discloses the invention as claimed. Fay teaches a hat part 10 or 14 and visor part 12 made of a plastic material. With regard to the performance properties of the claimed plastic as recited in claims 1 and 9-11, Applicant's claims recite a plastic hat part. Thus, any hat part formed from plastic is expected to perform in the same way as outlined by claims 1, 10, and 11. Fay teaches that hat part 14 is formed from flexible polyurethane (col.2, lines 46-48). By definition, thermoplastic urethane based on polyether or polyester is known as polyurethane material (as disclosed in Applicant's specification, pg.3, lines 1-2). Thus, The hat part is provided as a visor part 12 or hat flap 10 which has a portion resting against the head of a person bearing the hat and a distant portion, with a hat material 18, 20, 26 attached to the resting portion. The plastic material 12 is partially or completely transparent. Regarding the recitation of "plastic material is injection moulded", the method by which the material

Application/Control Number: 10/549,841 Page 5

Art Unit: 3765

is formed is not given patentable weight in an apparatus claim. Fay's plastic material is capable of being injection moulded.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 6, 7, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fay in view of Bree (U.S. 4,767,647). Fay discloses the invention substantially as claimed. However, Fay doesn't teach plastic material that is partially or completely metallised, or foils completely or partially injected into the plastic material. Fay's hat part includes a decorative logo 32 which may be imprinted on the visor's surface. It is common in the art to provide hat parts with emblems to achieve a desired aesthetic effect. Bree teaches an emblem formed from a decorative, embossed foil 18 that is injected with a plastic material 16. The embossed foil would result in an altered foil surface that is structurally equivalent to the surface of an imprinted foil. The process of manipulating the foil does not carry patentable significance in interpretation of an apparatus claim. Thus, whether the foil is imprinted or embossed, the resulting foil structure is the same. Therefore, it would have been obvious to provide the emblem of Bree to Fay's hat part since the emblem is easily manufactured and lends desired optical effects to the hat.

10. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fay in view of Youmans et al (Youman, U.S. 6,615,409). Fay discloses the invention substantially as claimed. However, Fay doesn't teach pigments are incorporated into the plastic material. Youmans teaches that it is known to provide numerous plastic lenses, including visors, with pigments incorporated into the plastic material (col.2, lines 9-11 and col.5, line 1-6). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide Fay's visor with the pigments as taught by Youmans in order to provide a high contrast resolution lens.

Page 6

Response to Arguments

11. Applicant's arguments have been considered. Applicant submits that Fay fails to teach a "plastic material has a VICAT softening temperature of from 60 degrees C to 140 degrees C....". The VICAT softening temperature is the temperature at which the specimen is penetrated to a depth of 1 mm by a flat-ended needle with a 1 sq. mm circular or square cross-section. Applicant submits that since the claimed performance characteristics of a plastic hat part formed from thermoplastic urethane based on polyether or polyester are not explicitly recited by Fay, then Fay fails to meet the claim. The Examiner respectfully disagrees and submits that: In order for the recited performance characteristics to be given patentable weight, these intended performance characteristics must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the structure disclosed by the prior art. The Office does not have the facilities to perform testing on a claimed device, and the performance characteristics of the device

Art Unit: 3765

do not carry patentable weight in an apparatus claim. Since Fay teaches the claimed structure, Fay meets the claims as outlined above. Applicant has not pointed to specific deficiencies in the structures of the remaining prior art rejections.

Conclusion

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications should be directed to Primary Examiner Katherine Moran at (571) 272-4990. The examiner can be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:30 am to 6:00 pm, and alternating Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Calvert, may be reached at (571) 272-4983. The official and after final fax number for the organization where this application is assigned is (571) 273-8300. General information regarding this application may be obtained by contacting the Group Receptionist at (571) 272-3700.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/549,841

Art Unit: 3765

Kmm

March 8, 2007

Katherine Moran

Primary Examiner, AU 3765

Page 8